Regional Development Framework & Sustainable Development Plan
Project Outcome:

Web-based, visual document

http://www.metrocouncil.org/planning/planning.htm
Regional planning cycle

The Next

HUD Sustainability Plan

Census 2010

2040 Development Framework 2011–2013

System Plans 2013–2015

System Statements 2015

Local Comp Plan Updates 2018

Local Planning Handbook
Today’s Topics

- **A Quick Look Back** –
  History provides context but not a map

- **Current Pressing Issues** –
  Some familiar faces but new wrinkles

- **Approaching a Changed Environment** –
  New planning horizon (2040) and HUD Sustainable Communities Grant; sorting out what goes into the *Development Framework* and what goes into the Sustainable Development Plan for HUD
What's changed since the last Regional Development Framework?
What happened?
## What happened?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Households</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010 Census</td>
<td>2,849,567</td>
<td>1,117,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 Framework Forecast</td>
<td>3,005,000</td>
<td>1,198,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>(155,433) -5%</td>
<td>(80,251) -7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Images: Residential development, children playing, construction site.*

*Images located at: 0x0 to 540x540, 143x0 to 692x163, 268x2 to 446x163, 34x2 to 260x163.*
What happened?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Jobs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010 DEED</td>
<td>1,543,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 Framework Forecast</td>
<td>1,816,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>(272,102)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What's changed since the last plan?

1990–2000
+ 333,000 Jobs

2000–2010
- 64,000 Jobs
What’s changed since the last plan?

Community Job Change 2000–2010

Largest job growth:
- Maple Grove: 11,489; 63%
- Eagan: 6,566; 15%
- Shakopee: 4,844; 35%
- Richfield: 3,798; 32%
- Woodbury: 3,344; 21%

Largest job loss:
- Minneapolis: -27,456; -9%
- Bloomington: -18,442; -18%
- St. Paul: -12,627; -7%
- Fort Snelling: -11,962; -34%
- Plymouth: -7,273; -14%
Rank: Gross Metro Product
Change from peak GMP to 2nd Q 2011

Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI
Change in GMP: 1.6%
Rank: 35/100 -- in 2nd Strongest Tier
What’s changed since the last plan?

1990–2000

- + 353,000 people
- + 146,000 HH
- + 237,000 persons of color

2000–2010

- + 207,000 people
- + 96,000 HH
- + 227,000 persons of color
What else has changed?

1990-2000

+ 2,400 married families with children
+ 67,100 single-person HH

2000-2010

−19,900 married families with children
+ 38,500 single-person HH
What else has changed?

2000

Age
+ 26.4% under 18
+ 64.0% working age, 18–64
+ 9.6% 65 plus

2010

Age
+ 24.6% under 18
+ 64.6% working age, 18–64
+ 10.8% 65 plus
What else has changed?

Homeownership by race/ethnicity

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
PIONEER PRESS
Pressing Issues:
+ Fast growth at the edge; land supply
+ Highway congestion
+ Loss of farmland, natural areas and open space
+ Redevelopment
Pressing Issues & Regional Approach
Regional Approach:
+ Planning framework: centers, focus on growth patterns
+ Major system investment: Sewers; flexibility in local staging
+ Market-based housing production
+ Multi-modal transportation
  + Natural Resource inventories
Pressing Issues

2011

Pressing Issues:
+ Economy and loss of jobs
+ Housing foreclosures, affordability
+ Transit funding, infrastructure
+ Water
+ Economic opportunity
  + Changing demographics
Pressing Issues
What’s the roadmap for going forward?
The Metropolitan Council shall:

“prepare and adopt...a comprehensive development guide for the metropolitan area...for the orderly and economical development, public and private, of the metropolitan area.”

“The comprehensive development guide shall recognize and encompass physical, social, or economic needs of the metropolitan area.”

Minnesota Statutes, section 473.145
Regional Vision guides plans

Vision and Goals

Regional Development Framework

Sustainable Development

Housing

Systems Plans
Essential Elements

- Vision and Goals
- Sustainability
- Evaluation and Implementation
- Outreach
- Roles and Responsibilities
  - federal
  - state
  - regional
  - local
  - non-profits/
  - philanthropic
  - community groups
  - business community
Sustainable Development:
Concepts and Approach

- Corridor-based
- Range of housing types, affordability
- Integrated multi-modal transportation
- “Sustainable Environment”
  - access to greenspace
  - access to jobs
  - access to services
  - assurance of clean water and air
2011 Outcome: Review existing plans & develop new Vision and Goals

Committee of the Whole Meetings

July
Regional Planning Framework
(2004)

Aug
Transportation
(2010)

Sept
Water Resources
(2005/07) & Housing
(1985)

Oct
Parks System
(2010)

Vision & Goal Setting
Nov
### Forecasts

#### Metropolitan Area Growth 2000 - 2030

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Households</th>
<th>Employment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2000</strong></td>
<td>2,642,000</td>
<td>1,021,000</td>
<td>1,565,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2030</strong></td>
<td>3,608,000</td>
<td>1,492,000</td>
<td>2,126,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Growth</strong></td>
<td>966,000</td>
<td>471,000</td>
<td>561,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Four Goals

- Working collaboratively with regional partners to accommodate growth within the metropolitan area.
- Maximizing the effectiveness and value of regional services, infrastructure investments and incentives.
- Enhancing transportation choices and improving the ability of Minnesotans to travel safely and efficiently throughout the region.
- Preserving vital natural areas and resources for future generations.
Regional Development Framework

Four Policies

Work with local communities to accommodate growth in a flexible, connected and efficient manner.

Plan and invest in multi-modal transportation choices, based on the full range of costs and benefits, to slow the growth of congestion and serve the region’s economic needs.
Four Policies (cont.)

- Encourage expanded choices in housing location and types, and improved access to jobs and opportunities.
- Work with local and regional partners to reclaim, conserve, protect and enhance the region's vital natural resources.
Regional Development Framework

Strategies

Metropolitan Council Role

Community Role

Each community determines how to implement the strategies with considerable local flexibility.
Implementation

Regional Development Framework

Local Comprehensive Plans
Metropolitan Land Planning Act

Technical Assistance

Metropolitan Significance
Implementation (cont.)

Regional Investments (10 year)
Regional Wastewater system: $1.15 Billion
Transit: $1.4 Billion
Regional Highways: $4.21 Billion
Parks and Open Space: $135 Million
Airports: $1.1 Billion

Regional Grants (annual)
TBRA: $5 Million
LCDA: $8.2 Million
LHIA: $1.5 Million
Benchmarks

**Accommodating Growth**

- 16,000-18,000 new housing units per year
- 92% urban, suburban, town
- 8% rural
- 27.4% Developed Area
- 58.8% Developing Area
Benchmarks

Transportation

- 10 new highway lane miles annually
- 1% growth in highway congestion
- 3% growth in transit service and ridership

Housing Choices/Opportunities

- 50% single-family / 50% attached
- 4,000 new affordable ownership units
- 800 new affordable rental units
Benchmarks

**Environment**

- Water quality leaving the metro area is as good as the water quality entering the metro area.
- Water resources are adequate to supply future water demands without adverse impacts.
- Maintain federal ambient air quality standards for carbon monoxide, ground-level ozone and fine particulates.
Process 2011

- August 3: Transportation
- September 21: Water Resources and Housing
- October 19: Parks and Implementation
- November 16: Vision & Goal Setting
Process Going forward

- January 2012: Vision and Outreach Plan
- 2013: Sustainable Development Plan adopted
- 2014: Regional Development Framework adopted
- 2014-15: TPP/WRMP/Parks
- 2015: Systems Statements